



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,654	05/09/2006	Maxim Fradkin	FR030141US1	1782
24737 7590 03/05/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				
EXAMINER				
KOZIOŁ, STEPHEN R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2624				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/05/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/578,654

Applicant(s)

FRADKIN ET AL.

Examiner

STEPHEN R. KOZIOL

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/18/2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CDC)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Detailed Action

1. Applicants' amendments and remarks filed 12/18/2008 have been entered and considered. Each of Claims 1-14 has been amended, while claim 15 has been cancelled. Claim 1-14 remain. New grounds of rejection are set forth herein; accordingly, this action is made non-final.

Response to Applicants' Remarks

2. Applicants' amendments filed 12/18/2008 are sufficient to overcome the previously outstanding 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection as set forth in the 09/18/2008 non-final Office action.

Applicants' amendments filed 12/18/2008 are sufficient to overcome the previously outstanding 35 U.S.C. § 112 first and second paragraph rejections as set forth in the 09/18/2008 non-final Office action.

Applicants' revised Abstract filed 12/18/2008 is sufficient to overcome the previously outstanding objections as set forth in the 09/18/2008 non-final Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Amended Claim 1 recites the limitation of a "user-selected orientation with respect to said surface, which is appropriate for the user to visualize a 2D portion..." (emphasis added). It

is unclear what criteria are used to determine whether or not user-selected orientation is “appropriate” for visualization, as claimed in claim 1. This lack of clarity and precision introduces ambiguity into the claim and invites rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph for being indefinite.

One possible way to overcome the instant 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 1 set forth herein may be to amend claim 1 to recite “a user-selected orientation with respect to said surface, which is ~~appropriate for~~ enables the user to visualize a 2D portion...” or the like.

Dependent claim 12 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph because claim 12 recites “3D and/or 2D views” (emphasis added). A claim reciting a limitation in an “and/or” format is presently viewed as indefinite because the limitations imposed by “and/or” are unclear. For example, “and/or” may be fairly interpreted as meaning one or more of: “and,” “or,” “and or, or (i.e. an exclusive or).” Therefore, “and/or” as presently recited in claim 12 renders claim 12 indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Dependent claims 2-11, 13 and 14 are objected to for their dependence on a rejected base claims.

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. By way of the amendments filed 12/18/2008, claim 1 presently recites "A computer program product having program code encoded in a computer readable medium..." (emphasis added). However, the originally-filed Specification (05/09/2006) does not provide support for a "computer readable medium" as claimed in claim 1. The specification does not support encoding the program code on a "computer readable medium" as presently recited. Hence, claim 1 is rejected for reciting new matter in the form of a "computer readable medium" which is not supported by the original specification.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

8. The following is a statement regarding the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to fairly teach or suggest the limitations of: automatic mapping a 3-D Surface Model onto the surface of an object of interest in a 3-D image, for estimating a model-based 3-D segmentation surface, comprising visualizing means (60) and further comprising: means of interactive adaptation (20) of the segmentation surface to the actual surface of the object of interest including: means of interactive selection (40) of a 2D data plane (DP) that intersects the 3-D segmentation surface along a 2-D Model Curve (MC), and means of further

Art Unit: 2624

automatically adapting the 3D segmentation surface within a neighborhood of the interactively adapted Aberrant Curve (emphasis added). It is the automated nature of the present claimed invention combined with use of the Aberrant Curve of the Model Curve that helps distinguish over the cited prior art of record.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Koziol whose telephone number is (571) 270-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 - 5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samir Ahmed can be reached at (571) 272-7413 . Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-2600. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-7332.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

02/27/2009
/srk/

/Samir A. Ahmed/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624